UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

FREDRICK HERMAN FISHER,			
Pla	intiff,		
V.			Case No. 06-CV-11110-DT
PATRICIA L. CARUSO, et al.,			
Def	endants.	,	

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Pending before the court is Defendants' motion to dismiss, which was filed on December 1, 2006. The matter has been fully briefed¹ and the court concludes that a hearing is unnecessary. See E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(e)(2). Defendants' grounds for relief rely exclusively upon Plaintiff's alleged failure to fully exhaust administrative remedies for his claims. The motion cites Sixth Circuit case law that was largely abrogated by the United States Supreme Court in *Jones v. Bock*, ____ U.S. ____; 127 S.Ct. 910 (2007). The court will therefore deny the motion without prejudice. At a later time, if appropriate, Defendants may reformulate any exhaustion arguments in a newly filed motion. Accordingly,

¹The court notes that Plaintiff's December 29, 2006 reply to Defendant's reply brief was improper under the local rules. See E.D. Mich. LR 7.1.

IT IS ORDERED that "Defendants' Motion to Dismiss" [Dkt # 48] is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

S/Robert H. Cleland ROBERT H. CLELAND UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: February 20, 2007

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was mailed to counsel of record on this date, February 20, 2007, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/Lisa Wagner

Case Manager and Deputy Clerk (313) 234-5522